IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

ALLEN STUTELBERG, HAROLD SCHMUNK and MICHAEL GREER, acting in their individual capacities and as shareholders suing derivatively on behalf of Pacific WebWorks, Inc., a Nevada corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PACIFIC WEBWORKS, INC., a Nevada corporation, KENNETH W. BELL, an individual, WILLIAM MARC BELL, an individual, ROBERT BRETT BELL, an individual, CHRISTIAN LARSEN, an individual, YKNOT HOLDINGS, LLC, a Utah limited liability company, YKNOT GLOBAL, LLC, a Utah limited liability company, K. LANCE BELL, an individual, and John Does 1-10,

Defendant.

ORDER TO REMAND FOR IMPROPER REMOVAL

Case No. 2:13-cv-1074 DN District Judge David Nuffer

On November 18, 2013, Plaintiffs filed an Amended Verified Complaint in the Third Judicial District Court in and for Salt Lake County, State of Utah. On December 4, 2013, two named Defendants—K. Lance Bell and Pacific WebWorks, Inc.—filed a Notice of Removal seeking to remove this matter to federal court.¹

¹ Docket No. 2, filed Dec. 4, 2013.

After the case was removed to federal court, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Remand² in which they argued that removal violated the "forum defendant rule," which clarifies that "[d]efendants may remove an action on the basis of diversity of citizenship [only] if there is complete diversity between all named plaintiffs and all named defendants, *and no defendant is a citizen of the forum State.*" On December 16 and 17, 2013, Defendants notified the Court that they did not oppose Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand.⁴

Having reviewed Plaintiffs' Motion, and pursuant to Defendants' non-opposition, the Court holds that removal to federal court was improper because at least some of the Defendants are citizens of the State of Utah—the forum state. The Court, therefore, grants Plaintiffs' Motion and remands this matter to the Third Judicial District Court in and for Salt Lake County, State of Utah.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Remand⁵ is GRANTED. Signed January 9, 2014.

BY THE COURT:

David Nuffer

United States District Judge

² Docket No. 5, filed Dec. 11, 2013.

³ Lincoln Prop. Co. v. Roche, 546 U.S. 81, 84 (2005) (emphasis added); see also 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b)(2).

⁴ Docket No. 10, filed Dec. 16, 2013; Docket No. 11, filed December 17, 2013.

⁵ Docket No. 5, filed Dec. 11, 2013.